THE PRODUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MEDIUM AND HIGH SPECIFIC ACTIVITY  $^{125}I-T_2$  and  $^{125}I-T_4$  utilizing substitution and exchange mechanisms

*Max* G. Reese and Richard **B.** Hales

Becton Dickinson Irmunodiagnostics, Salt Lake City, Utah 84115 **Received March** 3, **1970 Revised** April **17, 1970** 

**SUMMARY** 

The reaction of  $^{125}$ I with 3,5-diiodo-L-thyronine  $(r_2)$ , 3,3',5triiodo-L-thyronine  $(T_q)$ , and L-thyroxine  $(T_A)$  have been studied under various pH **and** concentration conditions. It **was** found that when the pH is 7.5 and approximately equimolar amounts of thyronine substrate and  $^{125}$ I are reacted,  $T_2$  produces as the major product monolabeled **T3** of high specific activity *(m3300* **mCi/mg)** and long term stability while  $T_q$  produces as the major product approximately 30% dilabeled 1251~4 with short term stability **and** a specific **3**  activity of  $\sim$ 3000 mCi/mg. Simple methods for purification of this product to make it useful are discussed. When **the** px **is** raised to **8.7 and** the molar ratio **of** 1251 to thyronine substrate **is** increased to 1:6 then  $T_3$  produces as the major product  $T_3$  of medium specific activity  $(\sim 500 \text{ mCi/mg})$  and long term stability while  $T_A$  produces **very stable monolabeled**  $T_4$  **of medium specific activity**  $(\sim 250 \text{ mCi/mg})$ **.** The mechanisms **of** substitution and exchange, as they relate to the formation of these and **other** side products in these reactions, are discussed.

Key **words:** thyronine derivatives, iodination, substitution, exchange, stability.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Thyroxine  $(T_A)$  and  $3.3'$ , 5-triiodothyronine  $(T_3)$ , the two most physiologically important iodinated thyronines secreted by the thyroid **gland,** display a pronounced influence *on* the rate of general metabolic activity of the body. In recent years the technique of radioimmunoassay (1,2,3), first developed by Berson and **Yalow (41, has** been applied to the analysis of both  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  in plasma and serum (5,6). The most basic and variable 036 *2-400* **3/i%/OOl5-O555** *\$0* **1.00 01978 by John Wiley** & **Sons Ltd.** 

**component of the RIA system is the labeled antigen. Ideally, the labeled antigen should have high specific activity, high innnunoreactivity (reacts like cold compound), purity, and long shelf life (7). The use of higher specific activity antigen facilitates the RIA test by improving sensitivity.**  Several groups of workers (8-12) have reported the synthesis of  $^{125}$ <sub>I-T<sub>3</sub></sub> and <sup>125</sup>I-T<sub>A</sub>, but only Weeke and Orskov (8) and Kjeld et al. (11) reported **high'specific activity preparations. When we repeated their work we observed**  that the high specific activity  $\mathbf{T}_A$  had a very short shelf life. This paper **presents the results from our efforts to produce both medium and high specific**  activity  $125$ <sub>I</sub>-T<sub>3</sub> and  $125$ <sub>I</sub>-T<sub>4</sub> with high purity and long shelf life.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Materials**

3,5-Diiodo-L-thyronine  $(T_2)$ , 3,3',5-triiodo-L-thyronine  $(T_2)$ , and L**thyroxine (T4), were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. and used without further**  purification. Carrier free ( $\sim$  17 Ci/mg), low pH Na<sup>123</sup>I of greater than 99% **radionuclidic purity was obtained from New England Nuclear Corp. Chloramine T, trihydrate (11% active chlorine) was used as obtained from J. T. Baker Chemical Co. Sodium metabisulphite, analytical reagent, was purchased from Mallinckrodt Inc., and propylene glycol, U.S.P. grade, was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Both were used without purification,as well as Sephadex G-25-80 from Sigma Chemical Co.** 

# **General Procedure**

**All labeling reactions were carried out in a fume hood in the opened**  Combi-V-Vial in which the Na<sup>117</sup>I had been received. Addition of reagents and **solutions to the reaction container was effected with Drurmnond disposable micro-pipettes. The general procedure was conducted by first adding 50 p1 of**  0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.1-7.5, to 5 mCi (2.27 nmoles) of Na<sup>125</sup>I in the Combi-V-Vial. This was followed by addition of 2-10 µg of thyronine substrate **dissolved in 10-40 p1 of dilute ammonium hydroxide, pH 10.5. Imediately after**wards, 90 µg of chloramine-T in 25 µl of 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, **was added and the reaction allowed to proceed for 15 seconds. The reaction** 

$$
^{125}I^{-T}{}_{3} \text{ and } ^{125}I^{-T}{}_{4}
$$

**was quenched by adding 240 pg of sodium metabisulphite in 100 p1 of** *0.05* **M phosphate buffer, pH 7.5.** 

## **Low pH reaction**

**The order of addition of reagents was the same during this reaction. However, to arrive at the final pH of 5.8 it was necessary to initially add phosphate buffer of pH 4.6 to the Na12'I and then use pH 6.0 phosphate buffer for the chloramine-T and sodium metabisulphite solutions.** 

# **Separation of products**

The reaction products were separated by adsorption chromatography on a **Sephadex G-25-80 column (1.1 x 14** *cm).* **The elution buffer used was 0.05 M phosphate buffer of pH 7.5. Approximately eighty fractions of 6.0 mls each were collected and stored in dim light. The fractions containing similar products were pooled, diluted to** *50%* **with propylene glycol and stored in the dark at 4OC.** 

## **Characterization of Products**

**The specific activity was either calculated where the product was obvious, or else determined by RIA methods or referenced to previously published results.** 

## **RESULTS**

Various thyronine derivates were reacted with  $^{125}$ <sup>-</sup> which was oxidized **to molecular iodine by chloramine-T under different pH conditions. In all cases radioactively labeled thyroxine and 3,3',5-triiodothyonine were obtained.**  The unreacted  $^{125}$ I<sup>-</sup> was eluted from the Sephadex column before fraction 10 while  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  were eluted between fractions 30-50 and 50-70 respectively. Only traces of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  were ever observed in any of these reactions. In all cases, efficient separation of  $T_{3}$  and  $T_{4}$  labeled products was achieved. They were found to be stable, except for doubly labeled T<sub>A</sub>, for periods **up to** *5* **months when stored in a 50:50 mixture of propylene glycol and** *0.05* **M phosphate buffer at pH ranging from 4.9 to 10.0. These reactions are summarized in Table 1.** 

When  $T_2$  was reacted with  $^{125}$ I (run 1), essentially following the procedure of Weeke and Orskov (8), the radioactivity ratio of <sup>125</sup>I-T<sub>4</sub> to <sup>125</sup>I-T<sub>3</sub> produced

**557** 

was 2:3. In this case all the T<sub>A</sub> produced must be diiodinated. Since

# **TABLE 1**

# **Reaction of Various Thyronine Derivatives with 12'1 by the Chloramine-T Method**



carrier free  $\text{Na}^{125}$ I is used the specific activity of the  $\texttt{T}_3$  is calculated **to be on the order of 3300 mCi/mg, or near the** maximum **theoretical value,**  while that for the dilabeled T<sub>4</sub> was estimated to be approximately 5600 mCi/mg. The  $T_3$  was observed to be stable for ten months while the  $T_4$  was very unstable, **remaining usable for only a week or two. These data are tabulated in Tables 2 and 3.** 

### **TABLE 2**

# **Antigenic Stability of Labeled Thyronine Products**



## **TABLE 3**

Specific Activity\* of Labeled Thyronine Products



**\*mci/ms** 

When **T<sub>2</sub>** was replaced by T<sub>3</sub> and the reaction repeated using approximately the same molar ratio of  $125$  to  $T_3$  (run 2, Table 1) and the same pH, the radioactivity ratio of  $^{125}$ <sub>I-T<sub>4</sub> to  $^{125}$ <sub>I-T<sub>3</sub></sub> became 2:1. The  $^{125}$ <sub>I-T<sub>3</sub></sub> produced in this</sub> **reaction was estimated fraa the work of Kjeld** *et* **al. (11) to have a specific activity of approxbately 1200 mCi/mg and was found to be stable for ten months.**  The  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>A</sub> produced was found to be stable for only a few weeks and have a **specific activity** *~3000* **mCi/mg. Since these results were contrary to those**  reported by Weeke and Örskov for <sup>223</sup>I-T<sub>4</sub> obtained under the same conditions (8) **a further examination of this product was made. When a sample of the**  $^{125}$ **I-T product frcun run 2 (Tabla 1) was rechromatographed on a 5 mm x 20** mm **Sephadex G-25-80 column two days after the initial reaction, only a small amount of**  free  $^{125}$ I<sup>-</sup> (or non-T<sub>4</sub>  $^{125}$ I labeled material eluting concurrently with free **1251-) was observed (Figure 1). However, when this separation was repeated 60 day6 after the reaction, the free 1251' peak was quite large and the 1251-T peak was reduced to approximately two-thirds the original amount. 4**  Also, when a sample of the  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>A</sub> product was reacted with an excess of **cold KI, oxidized by chloramine-T under these same reaction conditions, all**  of the label was released from the  $T_A$  and eluted as free  $125r$ . A double **antibody assay was used to monitor the antigenic properties of the high**  specific activity <sup>125</sup>I-T<sub>4</sub> produced in this reaction. It can be seen from Figure 2 that the labeled  $T_4$  suffers a relatively rapid decrease in antigenic

**LEGEND:**  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  **Run 2, two days after isolation.**<br> $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  **Run 2, sixty days after isolation.** LEGEND: <u>---------</u> Run 2, two days after isolation.<br>----Run 2, sixty days after isolation. **-----Run 2, from reaction of KI two -Run 5, fifty days after isolation. days after isolation.** 



**Figure 1. Chromatography of 1251-T,, products on Sephadex 6-25-80.** 

$$
^{125}I - T_3 \text{ and } ^{125}I - T_4
$$



**properties during the first 60 days of storage followed by a much slower change.** 

**Figure 2. Antigenic properties of 12%-T4 products monitored by double antibody RIA.** 

When the pH of the  $T_3$  reaction was lowered to 5.8 in an effort to increase the yield of  $^{125}$  I-T<sub>4</sub> over  $^{125}$  I-T<sub>3</sub> (run 3, Table 1), the radioactivity ratio for  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  was found to be 2.7:1. However, the total yield of labeled **products was reduced to about one half that obtained at neutral pH. The specific activity and stability of the products in this reaction were found**  to be similar to that of the  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>3</sub> and  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>4</sub> produced in run 2.

An interesting result was noted when the iodination of T<sub>3</sub> was repeated at pH 8.6 using a five fold molar increase of  $T_3$  (run 4, Table 1). In this case the major product was  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>3</sub> and not  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>4</sub>, as previously observed for  $T_3$  reactions. The radioactivity ratio for  $T^T T_T T_3$  to  $T^T T_4$  was 2 to 1. Also, it was observed in this case that the <sup>---</sup>I-T<sub>4</sub> was stable for more than **two months. It's specific activity was approximately 2800 mCi/mg. The** 

labeled T<sub>3</sub> product was found to have a specific activity of approximately 500 **mCi/q** and remained antigenic for more than ten months.

When the pH *8.6* iodination reaction was repeated using a similar five fold molar increase of  $T_A$  (run 5, Table 1), greater than 96% of the labeled product was  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>4</sub> with only a trace of  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>3</sub> being formed. The labeled T4 **was** found to **be** stable for more than five months and have a specific activity of approximately 250 mCi/mg. When a sample of this product was rechromatographed on a 5mm x 20mm Sephadex G-25-80 column 50 days after the iodination reaction had taken place, only a very mall free iodide peak was observed (Figure 1). The labeled  $T_A$  was also monitored by the double antibody assay technique. Figure **2** shows the constant antigenic properties of this product with time.

### DISCUSSION

The synthesis of labeled tracers of high purity, high specific activity, and long shelf life **is** a prime requirement €or any **RIA** test. Other workers have recently reported their results for the iodination of thyronine derivatives by the chloramine-T method *(8,* 10-12). Burger and Ingbar (10) reported a specific activity of 245 mCi/mg for their  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>A</sub> produced from T<sub>3</sub> while a specific activity of 51 mCi/mg was found when  $T_A$  was the substrate. The specific activity of  $123I-T_3$  produced from  $T_3$  was a low 31 mCi/mg. Thurlow and Puxley (12) iodinated  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  and obtained specific activities as high as 500 mCi/mg for  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>3</sub> and 350 mCi/mg for  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>4</sub>. However, their procedure included more complex separation and purification steps. Both Weeke and Orskov (8) and Kjeld et al. (11) reported the production of very high specific activity  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>3</sub> and  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>4</sub>; Weeke and Orskov claimed  $\sim$ 3000 mCi/mg for  $T_3$  but were vague when referring to  $T_A$ , and Kjeld et al. reported that the use of  $T_2$  as substrate gave  $^{125}$ I- $T_3$  with specific activity of 2400 mCi/mg and 1251-T4 with specific activity of 5200 mCi/mg while the use of **T3** as substrate gave  $1231-T_3$  with specific activity of 1200 mCi/mg and  $1231-T_4$  with specific activity of 4000 mCi/mg.

$$
^{125}I-T_3
$$
 and  $^{125}I-T_4$ 

When we repeated the procedure of Weeke and Orskov, which is essentially that used by Kjeld at al.,wa **found** similar results for the iodinatione **of**   $T_2$  and  $T_3$  (runs 1 and 2, Table 1). Starting from  $T_2$ , both  $^{125}$ I- $T_3$  and <sup>125</sup>I-T<sub>4</sub> are produced by substitution of either one or both hydrogen atoms ortho to the phenolic OH group. Obviously, any labeled T<sub>4</sub> produced would have two radioactive atoms and hence the extremely high specific activities observed by us and Kjeld et al. The mechanism most likely by which 1251-T3 would **be fonned may be** represented by equations 1, **2,** 3 and 4 where **R** represents  $\sum_{\text{MR}_2}$ -CH-COOH. Mayberry and Hacket (13)



have shown that the iodination of tyrosine and its derivatives proceeds by way of the bimolecular reaction between molecular iodine and the phenoxide ion to produce the intermediate cyclohexadienone. The "rate-limiting" step was viewed as the proton removal in step 4. However, more recently bobas et al. (14) have shown that the reaction of I<sub>2</sub> with para substituted phenolate ion (equation 3) becomes rate-determining when the concentration of iodide ion is low.  $^{125}I-T_4$  would be formed as shown in equations 5 and 6 which are essentially a repeat of equations 3 and 4, The fact that more labeled  $T_3$  than  $T_4$  is produced when  $T_2$  is the starting material **ir** axplainad by **the elactton** withdrawing inductiva affact **of** the **iodina** atan. **Tha** presence **of an** *ioditu* eta *on* tha phenolic ring will reduce tha nuc1.ophilic charactor **of** tha **rhg** and **make** attack from the



iodine more difficult. It has been shown that tyrosine, for example, is iodinated at a rate about 20 times that of monoiodotyrosine (13).

When  $T_3$  is the substrate, both  $^{125}I-T_3$  and  $^{125}I-T_4$  are formed. Obviously, in this case, the radioactive  $T_q$  must be formed through an exchange mechanism which **may be** represented by equations 7 and *8.* Based on the specific

The difficult. It has been shown that tyrosine, for example, it  
at a rate about 20 times that of monoidotypesine (13).  

$$
T_3
$$
 is the substrate, both  $^{125}$ - $T_3$  and  $^{125}$ - $T_4$  are formed. Ob-  
base, the radioactive  $T_3$  must be formed through an exchange nec  
the represented by equations 7 and 8. Based on the specific  
 $^{125}$ I<sub>2</sub> + $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 125 \ 1 & 0 & 125 \ 1 & 1 & 125 \end{bmatrix}^T$  +  $^{125}$ I<sub>1</sub> -  $^{125}$ I<sub>2</sub> +  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 125 \ 1 & 0 & 125 \ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$  +  $^{125}$ I<sub>1</sub> -  $^{125}$ I<sub>2</sub> +  $^{125}$ I<sub>1</sub> -  $^{125}$ I<sub>2</sub>

activities of their labeled  $\mathbf{T}_3$  and  $\mathbf{T}_4$  products, Kjeld et al. (11) concluded that substitution **was** taking place **more** readily than exchange in their reactims. We find that which one of these reactions predominates depends upon the ratio of <sup>125</sup>I to thyronine substrate. When  $T_3$  is the substrate and the ratio of  $125$ <sup>1</sup> to  $T_3$  is 1:1.35 (run 2, Table 1), twice as much labeled  $T_4$  as compared to labeled  $T_3$  is produced and substitution would seem to be the dominant reaction. However, when the ratio of  $^{125}$ I to  $\mathbf{r}_3$  is increased to 1:6.77 (run **4,** Table 1) then the major product by **a** two to one **margin** is 125 over 1251-T4 and exchange is the major reaction. These results may **be** better understood by a closer examination **of** the mechanism **of** the two reactions. Exchange of  $^{125}$ I for  $^{127}$ I (equations 7 and 8) is an equilibrium process. An increase of  $^{125}$  relative to T<sub>3</sub> will not facilitate formation of  $^{125}$ -T<sub>3</sub>.  $\mathbf{f}^{-1}$ 

*On* **the other hand, the replacement of a hydrogen atom by an iodine atan (equations 3 and 4) is not an equilibrium reaction and an increase in the**  relative amount of starting  $^{125}I_2$  will drive the reaction to completion thereby reducing the pool of I<sub>2</sub> available for exchange. The probability **that exchange is rapidly taking place is also shown by the fact that when**  the  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>A</sub> was reacted with excess cold I<sub>2</sub>, all of the radioactivity was **lost from the ring (Figure 1). Other workers (9,15) have reported that**  when radioactive I<sub>2</sub>, produced by a different oxidation method, was reacted with **T or T4, exchange was more rapid than substitution and was the primary <sup>3</sup> reaction taking place.** 

**Weeke and &kov** *(8)* **reported the rapid formation of iodinated breakdown products from the dilabeled T4 synthesized fran diiodothyronine. At the**  same time they infer that stable monolabeled  $T_4$  of high specific activity is **produced when Tj is the substrate. On the other hand Kjeld et al. (11) observed**  the formation of doubly labeled  $T_4$  from  $T_3$  and saw approximately 20% loss of **radioactivity after 50 days fran material stored in 50% propylene glycol. We likewise observed a large loss of radioactivity from the labeled T 4 product (run 2) when it was rechromatographed on Sephadex 60 days after isolation (Figure 1); also, there is approximately a 30% loss of antigenicity**  of labeled T<sub>4</sub> after 60 days of storage time as shown by our double antibody assay (Figure 2). However, when the monolabeled  $\mathbf{T}_\mathbf{A}$  of medium specific **activity produced by exchange in run 5 was monitored with the we observed no loss of radioactivity after 50 days (Figure 1) and complete stability of antigenic properties for up to five months (Figure 2). We conclude that**  monolabeled  $T_4$  is stable and that the loss in radioactivity in the  $^{125}$ I- $T_4$ produced from  $T_3$  substrate by both Kjeld et al. and us is due to the presence **of unstable doubly labeled T4. It has been proposed (16) that the radio**active decay of <sup>125</sup>I to the stable nuclide <sup>125</sup>Te results in deiodination of **T4 since tellurium dissociates from the hormone. This most likely takes place by a rupture of the arometic ring as postulated by Jiang at al. (15) with either loss of the second 1251 atom as free iodide or formation** 

**565** 

*566 M.C. Reese and R.H. Hates* 

**of a labeled non-antigenic compound which elutes from Sephadex concurrent with free iodide (Figure 1).** 

The doubly labeled  $T_A$  produced at pH 7.5 with  $T_3$  as substrate (run 2) **may have two sources. In theory it could be produced frm substitution of a second**  $125$ <sup>T</sup> atom on  $125$ <sub>I-T<sub>3</sub> formed from  $T_3$  by exchange, or it could be formed</sub> by exchange of  $^{125}$ I with the  $^{127}$ I atom on the  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>4</sub> produced from the T<sub>3</sub> **substitution. The latter case is most likely when considering pH effects.**  At pH 7.5,  $T_4$  with a phenolic pK of 6.73, will be more highly ionized than **Tg (pK** - **8.45). Since both substitution and exchange take place more rapidly on the phenaxide ion (13) it seems likely that the major pathway for the for**mation of doubly labeled  $T_A$  is by means of exchange on monolabeled  $T_A$ .

To use our  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>A</sub> product of high specific activity ( **>**3000 mCi/mg) **produced from the reaction of T<sub>3</sub> with**  $^{125}$ **I one needs only to apply a short purification step before use in order to remove radioactive non-antigenic contamination. We have done this by either of two simple techniques, i.e. separation on a small Sephadex column or affinity chromatography. After approximately two months, purification by either of these two methods**  will produce  $\begin{bmatrix} -T_4 & w & h & h & h & h \end{bmatrix}$  maintain antigenicity and specific activity at the theoretical maximum ( $\sim$ 2800) and require no further purification.

The fact that the labeled  $T_A$  of medium specific activity produced by the **exchange reaction (run 5) is very stable is proof that it is monolabeled. This should be the method of choice for production of medium specific activity T4. It is anticipated that even higher specific activity monolabeled**   $T_4$  could be produced by adjusting the  $2^{25}$  and  $T_4$  concentration to more **nearly a 1:l ratio. Obviously when too much iodine becomes available then diiodination will begin to take place. Kjeld et al. reported that they**  obtained a very poor yield of labeled  $T_4$  when using  $T_4$  as substrate (11). **Their results may very well be due to the lower pH of their reaction. We have found that pH is critical in the T4 exchange reaction due to T 4 precipitation.** 

$$
^{125}I-T_3 \text{ and } ^{125}I-T_4
$$

The method of choice for production of high specific activity  $^{125}$ <sub>I-T<sub>3</sub></sub> is by substitution starting from  $T^2$  (run 1). Monolabeled  $T^2$  is produced which has very high (near theoretical maximum of  $\sim$ 3300 mCi/mg) and constant specific activity. Purification **is** not needed after storage, as was noted with monolabeled  $T_4$ , because only non-antigenic breakdown products are produced. Monolabeled  $T_3$  of medium specific activity is best produced from  $T_3$  by exchange using a ratio of  $^{125}$ I to  $T_3$  of approximately 1:5 (run 4).

The method of choice for production of  $^{125}$ I-T<sub>4</sub> of high specific activity is from the reaction of  $T_3$  with  $^{125}$ I (run 2) with frequent purification by either chromatography on Sephadex *or* affinity chromatography until a relatively constant specific activity is reached. Medium specific activity  $T^{}_{4}$  is best produced by the exchange reaction using a ratio of  $^{125}$ I to  $T<sub>4</sub>$  of approximately 1:s **(run** 5).

### **REFERENCES**



- **13. Uayberry W.E. and Hockert T.J. J. Biol. Chem.** 245: **697 (1970) and references cited therein**
- **14. Dob'a; I., Choulik A., !&bo V. and Vegera M. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin <sup>A</sup>***1972:* **1189**
- **15. Gleason G.I. J. Biol. Chem.** 213: **837 (1955)**
- **16. Latham K.R., Ring J.C. and Baxter J.D. J. Biol. Chem.** 251: **7388 (1976)**
- **17. Jiang V.W., Krohn LA. and welch M.J. J. Am. Chem.** *SOC. 97:*  **6551 (1975)**